

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

an

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/652,119	08/29/2003	David L. Dean JR.	HE0205	1840	
21495	7590 09/20/2006	EXAMINER		INER	
CORNING	CABLE SYSTEMS LL	PRASAD, CHANDRIKA			
P O BOX 48 HICKORY	39 NC 28603	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			2839		
			DATE MAILED: 09/20/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		A	plication No.	Applicant	Applicant(s)			
		10	0/652,119	DEAN ET	AL.			
		E	caminer	Art Unit				
		1 -	nandrika Prasad	2839				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi or Reply	cation appear	s on the cover sheet v	vith the corresponde	nce address			
WHIC - External after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MASSIONS OF THE MASSION O	AILING DATE of 37 CFR 1.136(a) unication. tutory period will ap will, by statute, cau:	OF THIS COMMUN. In no event, however, may a ply and will expire SIX (6) MOse the application to become A	ICATION.  In reply be timely filed  INTHS from the mailing date  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. §	e of this communication.			
Status	·							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <i>30 Auau</i>	st 2006.					
• —	his action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.							
<i>'</i> —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
-,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims		•					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4,7-12 and 14-19</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4,7-12,14-19</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	tion and/or ele	ection requirement.					
Applicat	on Papers							
9)[	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Exam	iner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or f	orm PTO-152.			
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
`	see the attached detailed office delic		no coranica copico na	, 1000.V04.				
Attachmer	t(s)							
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)			Summary (PTO-413)				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Pmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	TO-948)		o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Applica	ition			
	er No(s)/Mail Date		6)  Other: _					

Application/Control Number: 10/652,119 Page 2

Art Unit: 2839

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendments

1. The reply filed 8/30/06 consists of amendments to claims 1, 4, 12, 14 –16, cancellation of claims 3, 5, 6, 13 and 20-23 and remarks related to rejection of claims. The claims are not allowable as described below.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-2, 4, 7-8, 10-12 and 14-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Luther et al. (5867621).

Luther (Figures 1-6) shows a multi-fiber ferrule 38 with a molded ferrule body having a plurality of bores 52 for receiving ends of optical fibers, openings 50a, 50b for receiving alignment members and defining a longitudinal axis wherein the ferrule body comprises an integrally formed geometrical reference feature (end face of 42) that defines a reference plane which can be used for determining the angularity of a plane defined by the molded end face 46, 48 by visually comparing the two planes. The geometric feature is not on a bumper of the ferrule. The end faces 46, 48 are on a bumper of the ferrule body. The molded end face has a first surface normal to the longitudinal axis and a second surface 46 at a predetermined angle to the first surface. The geometric reference feature eliminates the need of using an interferometer, thereby eliminating the need for using a truncated precision measurement pin for determining angularity of the plane defined a

Application/Control Number: 10/652,119 Page 3

Art Unit: 2839

region of interest. The reference feature is recessed from the end face 48 and is not machined. The surface of the feature is perpendicular to the axis. The reference feature protrudes from the end face. The reference feature is accessible when alignment members are received in the openings 50a, 50b and not altered throughout the useful life of the ferrule. The molded end face is not machined. The geometrical feature is on an exterior surface of the ferrule body.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Luther et al. (5867621).

Luther shows all the features of this claim except the reference feature within the opening. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the instant invention to provide the reference feature within the opening since it has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art. In re Japiske, 86 USPQ 70.

## Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/652,119 Page 4

Art Unit: 2839

#### Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

#### **Contact Information**

8. Any correspondence to this action may be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents Post Office Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chandrika Prasad at (571) 272-2099. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor can be reached at (571) 272-2800 ext 39. The fax number is (703) 872-9306.

Chandrika Prasad Primary examiner September 13, 2006